

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed March 20th, 2007 has been received and reviewed. By the present Response and Amendment, Claims 1, 4-6 and 8-13 are pending, Claims 12 and 13 are amended and Claims 1, 4-6, 8 and 9-11 are cancelled. No new matter is introduced.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1, 4-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicant has cancelled all Claims but for amended Claim 12.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 12 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,428,671 to Dykes et al. In view of U.S. Patent No. 6,917,646 to Chianale et al. Examiner argues that it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include the switch that works in conjunction with the modem and the amplifiers taught in Chianale to the method taught in Dykes. Applicant submits that there is subject matter in Claims 12 and 13 that perfects an earlier priority date than Chianale and, taken in combination with Dykes, the two do not anticipate the Claims. More specifically, the present invention claims a wireless PC/PCS modem that comprises the antenna that receives a wireless, digital signal. The digital signal is transmitted through a series of line amplifiers before it is passed to a multi-tuner, to a microprocessor, to an asynchronous receiver transmitter, respectively, and then it is outputted through the computer system's modem.


Chianale teaches a circuit that comprises a detector that detects a start-of-

communication signal (col. 2, ll. 28-29) through a jack 16. The start-of communication is transmitted through a series of amplifiers to a modem; however, Chianale does not teach that the signal is passed through a multi-tuner, a microprocessor and an asynchronous receiver transmitter, respectively, before it is outputted through a laptop modem. Neither does Dykes teach a method that comprises these steps. Dykes more specifically teaches a microcontroller 102 that configures the commands, i.e., dial, number storage, lock, last call, etc., that are transmitted and received through a communication device. Because neither reference teaches a multi-tuner, the present Claims cannot be rendered obvious. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments submitted herein and the above comments, it is believed that all grounds of rejection are overcome and that the application is placed in full condition for allowance. Should there be any further questions or reservations, Examiner is urged to telephone Applicant's undersigned attorney at (330) 253-5678.

Respectfully submitted,


John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,538
430 White Pond Drive
Suite 200
Akron, OH 44320
(330) 253-5678
Facsimile (330) 253-6658